UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI NORTHERN DIVISION

JOSEPH D. SANDERS,)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	No. 2:20-CV-76 SRC
)	
MOBERLY PRINCE OF PALACE,)	
FREE MASONS, et al.,)	
Defendants,)	

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter is before the Court on plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis. Plaintiff, a prisoner, has filed at least three previous cases that were dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or for failure to state a claim. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), therefore, the Court may not grant the motion unless plaintiff "is under imminent danger of serious physical injury."

After carefully reviewing the complaint, the Court finds no allegations that show plaintiff is in imminent danger of serious physical injury.² As a result, the Court will deny the motion and dismiss this action without prejudice to refiling as a fully-paid complaint.

Accordingly,

¹A review of cases filed by plaintiff in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas indicates that plaintiff has "three strikes" under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). *See Sanders v. Does*, No. 4:20-cv-394-LPR (E.D. Ark. dismissed Aug. 31, 2020); *Sanders v. Gordin*, No. 4:20-cv-425-LPR (E.D. Ark. dismissed June 4, 2020); *Sanders v. Does*, No. 4:20-cv-510-KGB (E.D. Ark. dismissed Sept. 15, 2020); *Sanders v. Trump*, No. 4:20-cv-1096-SWW (E.D. Ark. dismissed Oct. 27, 2020).

²Plaintiff alleges in his complaint that defendants have threatened to write him up if he continues to send letters to the Secretary of the Department of Defense. He also refers to another case in this Court that was transferred to the Western District of Missouri at his request, *Sanders v. Missouri Department of Corrections*, No. 4:20-cv-1246-AGF (E.D.Mo). In that case plaintiff asserted that he was the subject of assassination attempts, both inside and outside of prison. This action is still pending in the Western District of Missouri. *See Sanders v. Missouri Department of Corrections*, No. 2:20-cv-4322-SRB (W.D.Mo.).

Case: 2:20-cv-00076-SRC Doc. #: 7 Filed: 12/16/20 Page: 2 of 2 PageID #: 7

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis [Doc. #2] is **DENIED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this action is **DISMISSED** without prejudice pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

Dated this 16th day of December, 2020.

STEPHEN R. CLARK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

SLR.CR